

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/774,926	01/31/2001	Tomokazu Kakumoto	15162/03120	5322
24367	7590 06/02/2005		EXAMINER	
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP			YE, LIN	
717 NORTH	HARWOOD			
SUITE 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, T	X 75201		2615	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/02/200	e

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/774,926	KAKUMOTO ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Lin Ye ears on the cover sheet with the c	2615	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 7-17 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the oregoin Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Amarkan and N			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/31/01.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments of Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of the species D (Figures 1,3 and 7) which read on claims 1-4 and 6 in the reply filed on 1/24/05 is acknowledged.
- Claims 5 and 7-17 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
 Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 1/24/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1,3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al. U.S. Patent 6,128,039.

Referring to claim 1, the Chen reference discloses in Figures 6 and 7, a solid-state imagesensing device comprising: a plurality of pixels (301) arranged in a matrix and each

generating an electric signal proportional to an amount of incident light; a plurality of selector circuits (e.g., row selecting switch M3, switch k1, k2 and k3) provided one for each column of the matrix of the pixels and each having a single buffer (the switching capacitor amplifier 406 is a single buffer, See Col. 7, lines 65-67 and Col. 8, lines 1-14), the selector circuits each receiving, from a plurality of pixels belonging to a corresponding column of the matrix, image signals (Vimage) and noise signals (black signal level VBLK) representing variations in sensitivity and then outputting the image signals and the noise signals alternately through the single buffer (See Figure 7H), and a correction circuit (a sample hold stage 408, output buffer stage 410 and differential amplifier 413) receiving the image signals and the noise signals sequentially from one selector circuit after another and correcting the image signals on a basis of the noise signals (See Col. 11, lines 8-22).

Referring to claim 3, the Chen reference discloses wherein the selector circuits each comprise: a first holding circuit (c1 and c2) for sampling and holding the image signals output from the pixels (e.g., during the period T6 –T7 as shown in Figure 7H); and a second holding circuit (c1 and c2) for sampling and holding the noise signals output from the pixels (e.g., during the period T8-T9 as shown in Figure 7H); wherein, in each selector circuit (k1), the image signals and the noise signals are first sampled and held in the first and second holding circuits respectively, and are then alternately fed through the single buffer (406) to the correction circuit (408, 410 and 413).

Referring to claim 4, the Chen reference discloses wherein the correction circuit comprises: a third holding circuit (C3) for sampling and holding the image signals output from the first holding circuits provided in the selector circuits, a fourth holding circuit (C4)

for sampling and holding the noise signals output from the second holding circuits provided in the selector circuits (See Col. 10, lines 1-24); and a differential amplifier (413) for outputting the image signals after correcting the image signals by subtracting the noise signals output from the fourth holding circuit from the image signals output from the third holding circuit (See col. 10, lines 25-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. U.S. Patent 6,128,039 in view of Kozlowski et al. U.S. Patent 6,587,142.

Referring to claim 2, the Chen reference discloses all subject matter as discussed with respected to claim 1, except that the Chen reference does not explicitly show a plurality of constant-current sources provided one for each column of the matrix.

The Kozlowski reference teaches in Figure 2, a CMOS image sensor comprising an array of 1032 columns by 776 rows of photo detectors (See Col. 6, lines 51-55), and plurality of constant-current sources (Isrc common current source 30) provided one for each column of the matrix and each supplying a constant current to pixels belonging to a corresponding column of the matrix. The Kozlowski reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time to see more advantages the constant-current source provided one for each column of the matrix image sensor so that efficiently transfers the conditioned, photo-induced signals voltage from each row-selected photo detector (See Col. 8, lines 15-23). For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the image-sensing device of Chen ('039) by providing plurality of constant-current sources to one for each column of the matrix and each supplying a constant current to pixels belonging to a corresponding column of the matrix as taught by Kozlowski ('142).

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. U.S. Patent 6,128,039 in view of Collins et al. U.S. 6,507,519.

Referring to claim 6, the Chen reference discloses all subject matter as discussed with respected to claim 1, except that the Chen reference does not explicitly show the electric signal output from each pixel is natural-logarithmically proportional to the amount of incident light.

The Collins reference teaches in Figures 2-3, an image-sensing device (See Col. 5, lines 41) comprising: a plurality of pixels (each pixels show in Figure 3) that generate an electric signal proportional to an amount of incident light and then output the electric signal (V_x) as an analog signal that is natural-logarithmically proportional to the amount of incident light (See Col. 5, lines 65-66). The Collins reference is evidenced that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to see more advantages when the imaging-sensing device is a logarithmic type imaging sensor so that has very wide dynamic range with makes the imaging-sensing device suitable for imaging external scenes (See Col. 6, lines 15-22). For

that reason, it would have been obvious one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the imaging-sensing device of Chen ('039) by providing a logarithmic type imaging sensor for generating the output imaging electric signal as an analog signal that is natural-logarithmically proportional to the amount of incident light as taught by Collins ('519).

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Borg et al. U.S 6,476,864 discloses pixel column amplifier architecture creates a reduced noise differential image signal form a pixel sensor array.
 - b. Funakoshi et al. U.S. 6,498,332 discloses a drive circuit having a differential amplifier, a current controller formed form an MOSFET device.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lin Ye whose telephone number is (571) 272-7372. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Goody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lin Ye Examiner

Art Unit 2615

May 26, 2005